Published in Chinese Physics Letters 25 (2008) 3920-3923.

arXiv:0812.2113v1 [hep-ph] 11 Dec 2008

Simple Classification of Light Baryons

Yan Chen and Bo-Qiang Ma*
School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

(Dated: December 11, 2008)

We introduce a classification number n which describes the baryon mass information in a fuzzy manner. According to n and J^p of baryons, we put all known light baryons in a simple table in which some baryons with same (n, J^p) are classified as members of known octets or decuplets. Meanwhile, we predict two new possible octets.

PACS numbers: 14.20.-c, 11.30.Na, 14.20.Gk, 14.20.Jn

The idea of the quark structure of hadrons appeared first in the papers of Gell-Mann [1] and Zweig [2]. It was shown that the SU(3) octet symmetry can be realized on the basis of a fundamental triplet of some hypothesized particles, called quarks by Gell-Mann. At the beginning, quarks were take as a mathematical expression of the SU(3) properties of hadrons, but soon it was recognized that hadrons are bound systems of quarks: Baryon=qqq and Meson=$q\bar{q}$. The light baryons are made up of u, d, s quarks, which implies an approximate $SU(3)_{\text{flavor}}$ symmetry. Up to now, a number of approaches have been developed for describing the baryon mass spectrum, such as the SU(6) model [3, 4], the bag model [5], the Skyrme model [6, 7], the non-relativistic quark model [8, 9] and so on. These models incorporate partly the dynamics of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and achieve quantitative description. However, many predicted baryons of these models have been experimentally observed while some baryons have not been found. In addition, the predicted baryon masses of different models are not the same, i.e., the predictions are model-dependent. Although QCD has been widely accepted as a basic theory of strong interaction, it is still a big challenge to compute the baryon mass spectrum from first principle due to the complicated non-perturbative and non-linear properties. Therefore a comprehensive classification of all known baryons would be very helpful for a fundamental understanding of strong interaction and baryon structure.

In this Letter, we try to propose a simple method which directly classifies the observed baryons to their possible multiplets according to a fuzzy concept of mass range, rather than the precise mass values. First, we introduce a classification number n which describes baryon mass range information. Secondly, according to n and J^p, we put all light baryons into a table. We find that many baryons with same n and J^p can make up of a multiplet which is an octet or a decuplet listed in the booklet of Particle Data Group (PDG) [10] based on the quark-model or in Ref. [11] based on SU(3) symmetry. We also predict two new possible octets. The classification of known multiplets and the new predictions may suggest the feasibility of the classification method although it is phenomenological and rough.

We now introduce the classification number n, which is an integer determined by two parameters. One is the center mass denoted as $M_c^{B_n}$ for a kind of baryons with same isospin and hypercharge, the other is the mass band width denoted as $M_w^{B_n}$ corresponding to the center mass $M_c^{B_n}$. We define $M_c^{B_{n+1}} - M_c^{B_n} = M_w^{B_n}$. If a baryon mass M_B satisfies

$$M_c^{B_n} - M_w^{B_{n-1}}/2 \leq M_B < M_c^{B_n} + M_w^{B_n}/2, \qquad (1)$$

the baryon belongs to a group of baryons with classification number n.

To obtain reasonable $M_c^{B_n}$ and $M_w^{B_n}$, we briefly review the relevant properties of the nonrelativistic potential model [12, 13]. The ground-state baryon mass is

$$M = \sum_1^3 m_i + \frac{2\alpha_s}{3}\frac{8\pi}{3}\left\langle \delta^3(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij})\right\rangle \sum_{i<j=1}^{3} \frac{\boldsymbol{S}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_j}{m_i m_j}, \qquad (2)$$

where m_i and $\boldsymbol{S}_i$ are the constituent quark effective mass and spin of the ith quark, $\boldsymbol{r}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_j$, and α_s is the quark-gluon coupling constant. We suppose that the first center mass can be written as $M_c^{B_1} = \sum_1^3 m_i + m_0^B$, where m_i is the ith constituent quark effective mass and m_0^B is a constant in analogy with the second term of Eq.(2). Using $m_{u,d} \sim 280$ MeV and $m_s \sim 440$ MeV, and also taking $m_0^B \sim 160$, 150, 110, 50 MeV for hadrons N/Δ, Λ/Σ, Ξ, Ω, we assume $M_c^{B_1}$s of N/Δ, Λ/Σ, Ξ, Ω being 1000, 1150, 1270 and 1370 MeV respectively. The decrease of m_0^B with the increase of the strange quark number of a baryon is inspired by the $m_i m_j$ terms in the denominators of Eq.(2). However, we should take the above values as from assumptions rather than from derivations. We need also to mention that $\Delta 1$ and $\Omega 1$ are assumed to be imaginary particles with spin $S = 1/2$ for the sake of being comparable with $N1$, $\Lambda 1$, $\Sigma 1$, and $\Xi 1$.

The idea of the mass band width $M_w^{B_n}$ comes from the zeroth order energies $E = (N + 3/2)\omega = (2n + l + 3/2)\omega$ [9, 13], where n is the number of radial nodes, l is the orbital angular momentum, and the non-strange harmonic oscillator level spacing $\omega \simeq 520$ MeV. The mass band width $M_w^{B_n}$ is somewhat similar to ω. Therefore, we suppose that $M_w^{B_n}$ and ω are of the same order.

---

*Electronic address: mabq@phy.pku.edu.cn

More explicitly, we suppose that $M_w^{B_1}$ is 400 MeV and $M_w^{B_{n'}}(n' > 1)$ is 300 MeV.

Using the relation of baryon masses and the classification number n, i.e., Eq. (1), we put baryons N, Λ, Σ, Ξ, Δ and Ω in Tables I, II and III respectively. The underlined baryons mean that their spins and parities are not confirmed yet. We try to put them in these tables according to their masses. It is noted that an underlined baryon might be putted in another place in these tables as long as its mass satisfies Eq. (1).

Then according to n and J^p, we put all light baryons listed in PDG [10] in Table IV. In this table, there are 38 groups with same n and J^p, noting that baryons Σ, Ξ in one group may belong to an octet or a decuplet. We find that many observed baryons with same n and J^p are directly classified to their possible multiplets, thirteen of which are the same with the multiplets in PDG [10] and seven of which are the same with the multiplets in Ref. [11]. There are also some baryons which can not be classified to a multiplet clearly.

From Table IV, we predict two new possible octets marked with $\odot$. One is (N1900, Λ2000, Σ, Ξ) of $J^p = 3/2^+$, the other is (N2000, Λ2110,Σ, Ξ) of $J^p = 5/2^+$. The Σ and Ξ mass ranges of these two octets are 2000-2300 MeV and 2100-2400 MeV respectively. We calculate the baryon decay widths to check our prediction.

For the decay process of a baryon B* to a baryon B and a pseudoscalar meson M

$$B^* \to B + M, \tag{3}$$

the calculation of decay widths can be performed in the framework of Rarita-Schwinger formalism.

The parity-conserving Lagrangian and decay widths of the process $B^*_{3/2^+} \to B_{1/2^+} + M$ are [14]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_{B^*BM}}{m_\pi}\bar{\Psi}\Phi^{\mu_1}\partial_{\mu_1}\phi, \tag{4}$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{g^2_{B^*BM}P^3_{cm}[(m^*_B + m_B)^2 - m^2]}{24\pi(m_1 m_\pi)^2}. \tag{5}$$

Those of the process $B^*_{5/2^+} \to B_{1/2^+} + M$ are

$$\mathcal{L} = i\frac{g_{B^*BM}}{m^2_\pi}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^5\Phi^{\mu_1\mu_2}\partial_{\mu_1}\partial_{\mu_2}\phi, \tag{6}$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{g^2_{B^*BM}P^5_{cm}[(m^*_B - m_B)^2 - m^2]}{30\pi(m_1 m^2_\pi)^2}, \tag{7}$$

where

$$P_{\rm cm} = \frac{\{[m^{*2}_B - (m_B + m)^2][m^{*2}_B - (m_B - m)^2]\}^{1/2}}{2m^*_B}, \tag{8}$$

with $P_{\rm cm}$ being the c.m. momentum of final particles, g_{B^*BM} being the universal coupling constant [15], m^*_B and m_B being the baryons masses, and m being the meson mass. The results are listed in Table V, from which we notice that most predicted decay widths are consistent with the experimental data.

However, in Table IV, there is a puzzle, i.e., several baryons of a same kind may have the same n and J^p. There are 5 such cases, which are groups 3 and 4, groups 6, 7 and 8, groups 12 and 13, groups 20 and 21, and groups 25, 26 and 27. There are several possible solutions. Maybe when more baryons are observed, baryons with the same n and J^p may belong to different multiplets. It was suggested in Ref. [16] that Σ(1480) and Ξ(1620) might be members of a new light octet, whose N member is predicted to have the mass around 1100 MeV and the vanishingly small total width. Maybe some baryons have the same n and J^p can mix with each other, for example, the two Λ's of the groups 20 and 21 may mix, and so do the Λ's of the groups 25 and 26. Maybe some baryons belong to a multiplet with exotic baryons. For example, Σ(1770) makes it a potential candidate for the $\Sigma_{\overline{10}}$ member of the antidecuplet [11]. Four groups of baryons Σ(1560), Σ(1690), Σ(1580), Δ(2000) have no solution and need more study.

Although the introduced center mass $M_c^{B_n}$ and mass band width $M_w^{B_n}$ are arbitrary in some sense, our simple method can directly classify the observed baryons to their possible multiplets known in the literatures. The classification of known multiplets and predictions of new possible multiplets may suggest the feasibility of the introduction of the classification number n which is based on the fuzzy concept of mass range instead of exact mass values. The simple classification of all known light baryons in Table IV might be inspiring for both experimental and theoretical studies: experimentalists may search for possible missing baryons by looking at vacancies in the table and theorists may seek for better classification schemes of baryons and reveal more fundamental relations between different baryons. Of course, our simple method should be only considered as a roughly phenomenological attempt to classify all light baryons. A more comprehensive and elegant classification should be searched for from more fundamental and profound considerations.

We are grateful to Qihua Zhou and Bin Wu for useful discussions. This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 10421503, 10575003, 10528510), by the Key Grant Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (No. 305001), by the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (China).

---

[1] M.Gell-Mann, Phys. Lett. **8**, 214 (1964).

[2] G.Zweig, preprints CERN-TH-401 and CERN-TH-412 (1964), published in Developments in the Quark Theory of Hadrons, Volume 1. Edited by D.B. Lichtenberg and S.P. Rosen, Hadronic Press, Noantum, Mass., 1980.

[3] F.Gürsey and L.A.Radicati, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 173

TABLE I: The n number and masses of N, Δ baryons

n_N	1	2	3	4	5	6	n_Δ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
M_c^N(MeV)	1000	1400	1700	2000	2300	2600	M_c^Δ(MeV)	1000	1400	1700	2000	2300	2600	2900
J^+		N	masses				J^+			Δ	masses			
1/2	939	1440	1710	2100			1/2			1750	1910			
3/2			1720	1900			3/2		1232	1600	1920			
5/2			1680	2000			5/2				1905/2000			
7/2				1990			7/2				1950	2390		
9/2					2220		9/2					2300		
11/2							11/2					2420		
13/2						2700	13/2							
15/2							15/2							2950
J^-							J^-							
1/2		1535	1650	2090			1/2			1620	1900	2150		
3/2		1520	1700	2080			3/2			1700	1940			
5/2			1675		2200		5/2				1930	2350		
7/2					2190		7/2					2200		
9/2					2250		9/2					2400		
11/2						2600	11/2							
13/2							13/2							2750

TABLE II: The n number and masses of Λ, Σ baryons

n_Λ	1	2	3	4	5	n_Σ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
M_c^Λ(MeV)	1150	1550	1850	2150	2450	M_c^Σ(MeV)	1150	1550	1850	2150	2450	2750	3050
J^+		Λ	masses			J^+		Σ	masses				
1/2	1116	1600	1810			1/2	1193	1660	1880/1770				
3/2			1890	$\underline{2000}$		3/2		1385/$\underline{1560}$/$\underline{1690}$	1840	2080			
5/2			1820	2110		5/2			1915	2070			
7/2				2020		7/2				2030			
9/2					2350	9/2					$\underline{2455}$		
11/2					$\underline{2585}$	11/2							
15/2						15/2							$\underline{3170}$
J^-						J^-							
1/2		1405/1670	1800			1/2		1620	1750	2000			
3/2		1520/1690			2325	3/2		1670/1580/$\underline{1480}$	1940	$\underline{2250}$			
5/2			1830			5/2			1775				
7/2				2100		7/2				2100			
11/2						11/2						$\underline{2620}$	
13/2						13/2							$\underline{3000}$

TABLE III: The n number and masses of Ξ, Ω baryons

n_Ξ	1	2	3	4	5
M_c^Ξ(MeV)	1270	1670	1970	2270	2570
J^+		Ξ	masses		
1/2	1318	$\underline{1690}$			
3/2		1530		$\underline{2250}$	
5/2			$\underline{2030}$		
7/2				$\underline{2120}$	
J^-					
1/2		$\underline{1620}$			
3/2		1820		$\underline{2370}$	
5/2			$\underline{1950}$		
7/2					$\underline{2500}$
M_c^Ω(MeV)	1370	1770	2070	2370	2670
J^+		Ω	masses		
3/2		1672		$\underline{2470}$	
5/2				$\underline{2380}$	
7/2				$\underline{2250}$	

(1964).

[4] B.Sakita, Phys. Rev. **136**, B1756 (1964).

[5] A.Chodos, R.L.Jaffe, K.Johnson, C.B.Thorn, and V.Wesskopf, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3471 (1974); A.Chodos, R.L.Jaffe, K.Johnson, and C.B.Thorn, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2599 (1974); T.DeGrand, R.L.Jaffe, K.Johnson, and J.Kiskis, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 2060 (1975).

[6] A.Hayashi and G.Holzwarth, Phys. Lett. B **140**, 175 (1984).

[7] M.P.Mattis and M.Karliner, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 2833 (1985).

[8] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Lett. B **72**, 109 (1977); N. Isgur, G. Karl, and R. Koniuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 1269 (1978); N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Lett. B **74**, 353 (1978); Phys. Rev. D **18**, 4187 (1978).

[9] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2653 (1979).

[10] Particle Data Group, W.-M.Yao, *et al.*, J. Phys. G **33**, 1 (2006).

[11] V. Guzey and M.V. Polyakov, arXiv:hep-ph/0512355.

[12] A. De Rújula, H. Georgi, and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev.

TABLE IV: Mass table of light baryons classified by (n, J^P) (in units of MeV)

Group	(n, J^p)	N	Λ	Σ	Ξ	Δ	Ω	Singlet Λ	Octet NΛΣΞ	Decuplet ΔΣΞΩ
1	$(1, 1/2^+)$	939	1116	1193	1318				$\star$	
2	$(2, 1/2^+)$	1440	1600	1660	$\underline{1690}$				$*$	
3	$(3, 1/2^+)$	1710	1810	1880					$\star$	
4	$(3, 1/2^+)$			1770		1750			?	?
5	$(4, 1/2^+)$	2100				1910			?	?
6	$(2, 3/2^+)$			1385	1530	1232	1672			$\star$
7	$(2, 3/2^+)$			$\underline{1560}$					?	?
8	$(2, 3/2^+)$			$\underline{1690}$					?	?
9	$(3, 3/2^+)$	1720	1890	1840		1600			$*$	$\star$
10	$(4, 3/2^+)$	1900	$\underline{2000}$	2080		1920	$\underline{2470}$		$\odot$	$*$
11	$(3, 5/2^+)$	1680	1820	1915	2030				$\star$	
12	$(4, 5/2^+)$	2000	2110	2070	$\underline{2250}$	1905	$\underline{2380}$		$\odot$	$*$
13	$(4, 5/2^+)$					2000				?
14	$(4, 7/2^+)$	1990	2020	2030	$\underline{2120}$	1950	$\underline{2250}$		?	$*$
15	$(5, 7/2^+)$					2390				?
16	$(5, 9/2^+)$	2220	2350	$\underline{2455}$		2300			$\star$	?
17	$(5, 11/2^+)$		$\underline{2585}$			2420		?	?	$\star$
18	$(6, 13/2^+)$	2700							?	
19	$(7, 15/2^+)$			$\underline{3170}$		2950			?	?
20	$(2, 1/2^-)$	1535	1670	1620		1620			$\star$	$\star$
21	$(2, 1/2^-)$		1405					$\star$		
22	$(3, 1/2^-)$	1650	1800	1750					$\star$	
23	$(4, 1/2^-)$	2090		2000		1900			?	?
24	$(5, 1/2^-)$					2150				?
25	$(2, 3/2^-)$	1520	1690	1670	1820				$\star$	
26	$(2, 3/2^-)$		1520	1580				$\star$	?	?
27	$(2, 3/2^-)$			$\underline{1480}$	$\underline{1620}$				?	?
28	$(3, 3/2^-)$	1700		1940		1700			$*$	$\star$
29	$(4, 3/2^-)$	2080		$\underline{2250}$	$\underline{2370}$	1940			?	?
30	$(5, 3/2^-)$		2325					?	?	
31	$(3, 5/2^-)$	1675	1830	1775	$\underline{1950}$				$*$	
32	$(4, 5/2^-)$					1930				?
33	$(5, 5/2^-)$	2200				2350			?	?
34	$(4, 7/2^-)$		2100	2100					?	?
35	$(5, 7/2^-)$	2190			$\underline{2500}$	2200			?	?
36	$(5, 9/2^-)$	2250				2400			$\star$	?
37	$(6, 11/2^-)$	2600		$\underline{2620}$					?	?
38	$(7, 13/2^-)$			$\underline{3000}$		2750			?	?

The underlined baryons mean that their spins and parities are unknown and we put them in the table according to their classification numbers n artificially. $\star$ means that the baryons in the group belong to an octet or a decuplet listed in PDG, $*$ means the baryons belong to a multiplet listed in Ref. [11], and $\odot$ means that the baryons belong to our prediction of a new octet, and ? means that the baryons are still unknown to a multiplet.

TABLE V: The masses and widths of baryons (in units of MeV)

	PDG width	Decay mode	Branching ratio	Γ_i(exp)	Γ_i(th)
$N(1900)$	420-576	$N\pi$	20%-32%	84.0-184.3	110.0
		$N\eta$	9%-19%	37.8-109.4	38.2
		ΛK	2.10%-2.70%	8.8-15.5	4.8
$\Lambda(2000)$	80-180	$\sqrt{\Gamma_{N\bar{K}}\Gamma_{\Sigma\pi}}$	-24%--16%	-43.2--12.8	-58.0
				$\alpha = -0.20$	A_8=10.5
$N(2000)$	180-800	$N\pi$	3%-13%	5.4-104.0	73.1
$\Lambda(2110)$	150-250	$N\bar{K}$	5%-25%	7.5-62.5	10.3
		$\Sigma\pi$	10%-40%	15.0-100.0	85.3
				$\alpha = -0.10$	A_8=4.0

α, A_8 are the parameters of the universal coupling constants for the $\mathbf{8} \to \mathbf{8} + \mathbf{8}$ decays.

D **12**, 147 (1975).

[13] For a review, see, i.e., S.Capstick and W.Roberts, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **45**, 241 (2000).

[14] J.G. Rushbrooke, Phys. Rev. **143**, 1345 (1966).

[15] N.P. Samios, M. Goldberg, and B.T. Meadows, Rev. Mod. Phys. **46**, 49 (1974).

[16] Ya.I. Azimov, R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky and R.L. Workman, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 045204 (2003).